

Lorcan T. Kilroy M.F.A.
8927 Cedros Ave # 6
Panorama City CA. 91402
818-481-4873

Oct 13 , 2025

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

The Honorable Jim Jordan
Chairman
Committee on The Judiciary
United States House of Representatives
2056 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Jordan :

The below statement, (on information and belief , except where otherwise stated under penalty of perjury within Apps. to referenced separate SCOTUS petitions,(No. 18-9663 cert. denied, and No. 20-354 cert. denied), and within the 9th. Cir. /CD Cal. filings underlying those two SCOTUS petitions) , of facts and complaints regarding high crimes and misdemeanors, is submitted to the Committee on The Judiciary of the United States House of Representatives,(HJC), by myself complainant Lorcan T. Kilroy M.F.A. , a layperson member of the public and former Los Angeles Unified School District high school Art teacher at Van Nuys High School, against Kamala Devi Harris , former Vice President of the United States, California SBN 146672.

Jurisdiction

Respondent Kamala Devi Harris , (Harris), CALBAR #146672, was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California ,was a member and California's Attorney General at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California. At the time of the offenses alleged, she was CAG and not afforded any immunities that may have come with her later position as Vice President of The United States.

COUNT ONE

18 U.S.C. § 371 conspiracy,
18 U.S. Code § 3, assisting a known offender to hinder apprehension, trial, or punishment,
18 U.S.C. §§ 242, 1512, witness tampering,
18 U.S.C. §§ 1501–1521 obstruction of justice,
18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud, (*emails and other comms., (those emails & comms. now being
secreted from United States in 2025 by CAG Rob Bonta in defiance of PRA request))

On information and belief, on or about May 2013 in , (partly news media photographed), physical meetings/communications in Los Angeles with 2013 Los Angeles Police Department, (LAPD), commissioner Steve Soboroff, 2013 Los Angeles Unified School District , (LAUSD), Supt. John Deasy, and 2013 LAPD Chief Charlie Beck, and/or in other communications with senior CAG staffer(s) Nathan Robert Barankin SBN 246313 ,(currently CA. Gov. Newsom’s Chief of Staff), Rochelle Corinne East 183792, (now Calif. Bench) , respondent Harris made an agreement between two or more people to commit a federal offense or defraud the U.S. government, followed by overt acts described herein in furtherance of the agreement, 18 U.S.C. § 371, (the agreement). (See uncontroverted affidavit of complainant; SUPPLEMENTAL U.S.C. § 144 AFFIDAVIT OF LORCAN T. KILROY, App. to Pet. for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus. at 35a, (top center numeration), In Re. Lorcan Kilroy, cert. denied, No. **18-9663** (U.S. Dec 12, 2019)¹. Direct link:

https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/18/18-9663/102922/20190614103217043_00000044.pdf).

The agreement was to ,(with motive to protect Harris’ rising White House political star , (federal nexus), and prevent another scandal in the LAUSD which was reeling from the

¹ To kill SCOTUS No. 18-9663, which threatened to expose Harris, SCOTUS Justice Kagan violated the new 2023 SCOTUS Ethics Code of Conduct’s Canon 2(B) (Outside Influence), not once but twice. She denied IFP application arbitrarily, capriciously, or without a reasoned basis, such as ignoring clear evidence of the petitioner’s indigence or applying inconsistent standards not aligned with Rule 39’s purpose, potentially violating due process principles under the Fifth Amendment or equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment. (SCOTUS assigns no actual monetary thresholds for IFP discretionary decisions.) Next, her motion decision 19A492 , appears to do the same job over again, and moreover suppresses the key motion evidence exhibit from the public docket, (see 19A492 at 4), her concealment perhaps under guise of a disparately applied formatting rule, (complainant’s other SCOTUS exhibits were, after all, all docketed publicly). Complainant had and has no formal legal experience or education. Thus, without ethics code review, there is now a lingering question of fraud of SCOTUS docket entries in complainant’s “under the radar Pro Se” petitions No. 18-9663 and 20-354, docket entries which represented and still represent to the United States that both petitions were indeed “*Distributed for conference*”, (*distribution before IFP decision in No. 18-9663 , although that apparently sometimes occurs). Clerk Scott S. Harris is silent so far. Complainant has U.S. Mailed AOUSC Dir. Hon. Conrad in separate correspondence.

Miramonte sex abuse scandal), uphold suppression of multiple or dozens of adult and juvenile witness statements which had been secreted by LAUSD and LAUSD school police and LAPD, from a series of municipal police reports marked by LAUSD school police and LAPD as DR 12-09-11015. The incident was a teacher perpetrator on student victim classroom assault, battery, and criminal threats incident at Van Nuys High School in Spring 2012.

Kamala Harris, (and her husband lawyer Douglas Emhoff, (Emhoff under CALBAR Rule 8.3,(no spousal exceptions), & under 18 U.S.C. 3, re. any crime/fraud disclosures), and her former CAG staff now balk in 2025 at invitation to talk and at least take some steps to controvert to FBI , complainant's sworn statements; and to clear themselves to the United States of having involvement in such such abhorrent acts, by simply, as a first step, by directly replying in email to two FBI email addresses and the HJC Chair's office email address. Instead, they are now offering only loaded silences after complainant's Tue, Sep 30, 2025 12:27 PST email to Harris and Emhoff, (and Calif. OAH Dir. Morazzini), also addressing FBI/HJC Chair Jordan. Moreover, Calif. Governor Newsom and 2025 CAG Rob Bonta appear to be illegally denying a 2025 PRA request for Harris' related communications from the 2013 time period, while perhaps engaging in obstruction via email blocking moves after complainant's Oct 5, 2025 at 2:13 PM email to them and HJC chair Jordan's office and FBI. Harris Esq. , Emhoff Esq., Newsom and Newsom/former Harris staffer Nathan Barankin Esq. and Barnkin's wife Patterson Esq. will likely plead the fifth in response to any successful HJC subpoena, judging from their FBI phobia.

COMPLAINANT'S MARCH 2, 2015 WHISTLEBLOWER COMPLAINT TO THEN CALIF. CAG HARRIS

On March 2, 2015, complainant, after emails to CAG Harris, her Chief of Staff Nathan Barankin, staffer Rochelle East and others, had a San Francisco reg. process server serve Harris in individual capacity in San Francisco with notice of the LAPD command staff cover up in re. The LAPD DR 12-09-11015 "Thomson affair", and he put details of the documents served within the proof of service he drafted for the server. That proof is docketed within the complainant's second compelled filing in the United States Supreme Court, as App. to his second Pet. for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus , *In Re. Lorcan Kilroy*, cert. denied, **No. 20-354** , (U.S. Aug. 26, 2020) as physical pages 7-15 of the **25** page App. document ,(the whole 25 page App. is tellingly not enumerated by SCOTUS Justice Elena Kagan's or other usually fastidious cert pool/SCOTUS staff, (and the 23rd. pg. is then oddly smudged, possibly obscuring facts related to Obama appointed Article III DC Judge Dolly M. Gee's SUMF/MSJ related violations of canon 2(B).).

Direct weblink to that proof of service on Harris document as of this signing is/was:
https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/20/20-354/154160/20201015120321390_20201015-120120-95751747-00000777.pdf

(Scroll to 7th physical page.)

Harris' "all out" apparently enraged response was to secretly enact further violations of 18 U.S.C. to crush complainant, including her "trump card" of having LAUSD falsify sexual misconduct against complainant, revoke his Calif. Teaching credential using one of her CAG employees, (DAG John Decure ,now a Federal Immigration Judge in NY), as revocation "judge", and having LAUSD terminate him without cause.

COUNT TWO

18 U.S.C. § 1513 (Retaliation Against a Witness, Victim, or Informant)

18 U.S.C. § 1512 (Witness Tampering)

18 U.S.C. § 371 conspiracy

18 U.S.C. §§ 1501–1521 obstruction of justice

18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud (emails)

With intent, willfulness, and a nexus to federal interests, California Attorney General Harris then in 2016, after carefully watching the complainant's related litigation against LAUSD in *Kilroy v. Los Angeles Unified Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ.*, No. CV 13-6373 DMG (FFM) (C.D. Cal.), to be sure Obama appointed Article III Judge Dolly M. Gee was her ally and would not expose her, conspired, then fabricated or rigged, false accusations of pedophile-related sexual misconduct against complainant, a common LAUSD high school Art teacher who was acting as a whistleblower, (see uncontroverted Decl. Kilroy **Dkt. 183**, *Kilroy v. Los Angeles Unified Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ.*, No. CV 13-6373 DMG (FFM) (C.D. Cal.); see also *Lorcan T. Kilroy v. LAUSD Brd. of Ed.*, 2:16-cv-09068, (C.D. Cal. 2016) Declarations of Millikan Middle School three direct classroom juvenile witnesses ,(now adults in 2025), as Dkts. 217, 218,219 , v. Dkt. 158 Decl. LAUSD's school police detective Ray Jordan,(and then "corrected Decl. Det. Jordan Dkt. 182)², with only falsified but inadmissible hearsay handwritten statements alleged to be from students. Even though complainant's desperate declaration as cv 13-06373 Dkt. 183 was wholly uncontroverted, Obama appointed Article III Judge Dolly M. Gee again violated canon 2(B) (outside influence) and refused to hold hearing with direct classroom witnesses even though very real questions of opposing counsel's involvement, defendants LAUSD's obstruction of justice 18 U.S.C. §§ 1621,1623, 1519,1519, 371 etc., were glaringly present. Then she violated canon 2(B) yet again in case cv16-09068 by still defiantly refusing to adjudicate the truth or falsity of the sexualized allegations, yet refusing to hold the case over for trial to find the

² Unless another authorized party enacted it, Judge Gee has additionally violated canon 2(B) by completely blocking complainant's PACER access as of Oct 10, 2025, and, when he could not see, restricting United States, (and thus HJC and FBI) access,(as of Oct 10, 2025), to LAUSD Det. Jordan's Declaration and report cv 16-09068 Dkt 158 and 182, key to any HJC investigation. She also restricted PACER access to Decl. LAUSD lawyer Voigt, also Dkt 158, (whose concealment of his status as a lawyer in that Decl. is in question) , and perhaps other PACER records.

truth. Her magistrate Judge Gee's magistrate Frederick Francis Mumm #91259 looked to the complainant during hearings to be visibly distressed, and after the cv 13-06373 case he left the bench altogether, (currently CD Cal. ADR program).

COUNT THREE

18 U.S.C. § 1513 Retaliating Against a Witness, Victim, or Informant

18 U.S.C. § 371 Conspiracy To Defraud The United States

18 U.S.C. § 1343 Wire Fraud, Deprivation of Honest Services

18 U.S.C. § 1503 Influencing or Injuring an Officer of the Court

18 U.S.C. § 1505 Obstruction of Agency Proceedings

18 U.S.C. § 1001 (false statements)

Again with intent, willfulness, and a nexus to federal interests, Harris then, in 2016, orchestrated a retaliatory revocation of the complainant's California teaching credential without cause, to obstruct justice relative to count one above, and while conspiring with corrupt LAUSD, California Teaching Credential and California Office of Administrative hearings (OAH) officials, and NBC executives. Harris' swapped her pal and former CAG employee of hers, DAG John Edward DeCure #150700, to, at the last minute, sit as Administrative Law Judge, (ALJ), and replace regularly scheduled ALJ Ralph Brown Dash #70578, to be sure there was a revocation even without cause. This was after the complainant had, in suspicion of such a scheme, exercised his one peremptory strike of (ALJ).

The director of the Calif. OAH in 2016 and at present, ALJ Zackery Paul Morazzini #204237, and his PRA request staff, are currently balking illegally at PRA request for related communications. Harris' on the ground team DAG Kristen Dalessio and Julie Trich and Richard Waldow, are also silent. The revocation pretext featured a letter published on NBC three years earlier in 2013 at:

<https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/teacher-fights-laUSD-after-suspension/1956709/>

But there was a video, (evening broadcast News), portion of that NBC report which has tellingly been taken down by NBC from NBCOnline but at signing was still on YOUTUBE at

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nLuQDbw7Jfo>

With NBC's intent, willfulness, and a nexus to federal interests, the 2013 NBC report's resculpting of truth protected California political darling Kamala Harris, LAUSD and certain LAPD "bad apples". The NBC reporter John Cadiz Klemack was a "facebook" friend of LAUSD general counsel David Holmquist at the time. The NBC report, (on the evening news on broadcast as well as online, (see YOUTUBE remnant above)), hid the true severity of the 2012 Thomson affair assault, and its cover-up by LAPD and CAG Kamala Harris, from the United States in a willful act, and NBC did so even though complainant would testify to the HJC committee under oath that he gave, with his own hand, in a park right outside NBC in Burbank CA. right before the above report was filmed, NBC reporter John Cadiz Klemack a paper copy of an email from LAPD Det. Rose Ledesma Gaeta to complainant, confirming that a substitute teacher at Van Nuys High, initials D.W., had made a SCAR (suspected child abuse report), about the Thomson incident.

Complainant would testify to HJC that he complainant had told NBC reporter Cadiz Klemack that a student witness initials M.R. had come to him in complainant's classroom at Van Nuys high school and motioned or stated that Thomson had choked the student. NBC, with intent, willfulness, and a nexus to federal interests, resculpted that to protect Harris, and deceive the United States, (in not too dissimilar a fashion to a different resculpting of a Harris interview for which they were found liable).

Complainant recently communicated these troubling NBC facts to FCC Chair Carr, but it's unknown if California interested parties were able to use AI or other technology to intercept that correspondence, (or intercept his correspondence to AOUSC Dir. Hon. Conrad) .

Complainant is available to answer questions of the committee under oath. He has thus far resisted California POST (Police Officer Standards and Training) and Calif. Commission on Judicial Performance, (CJP), seeming solicitations to him to confine matters to the great State of California, to POST and the CJP or Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, as opposed to having involved police officers and lawyers answer questions directly to FBI or HJC. It appears California is far from impartial when it comes to protecting v. impartially investigating their Kamala Harris. (* POST would also refer any "investigator" role right back to subjects LAUSD and their police force LAUSD school police which are one and the same, and, it seems Calif. POST, (from silence of POST Dir. Alvarez when asked), would then fight any HJC subpoena of "investigation" details.)

Lorcan T. Kilroy
/s/ Lorcan T. Kilroy

.

